Shared Governance Task Force Mtg_6-3-2021

Attendees (names taken from ZOOM screen):

Guest: Dawn LeeGuest: Veronica Avila

Guest: Michele LeBleu-BurnsGuest: Hyon Chu Yi-Baker

Laureen Balducci

Scott Olsen

Melinda Hughes

Mary Pape

Tim Shively

• Mylinh Pham

So Kam Lee

Eric Mendoza

Jim Nguyen

Jennifer Mahato

Discussion:

Guests brought forward CAS Standards

CAS Functional Standards

Academic Advising Programs

Alcohol and Other Drug Programs

Assessment Services

Auxiliary Services Functional Areas

Campus Activities Programs

Campus Information and Visitor Services

Campus Religious, Secular, and Spiritual Programs

Career Services

Case Management Services

Clinical Health Services

College Unions: Programs, Services, Community Center

Conference and Event Programs

Counseling Services

Disability Resources and Services

Financial Aid Programs

Graduate and Professional Student Programs and Services

Health Promotion Services

International Student Programs and Services

Internship Programs

Leadership Education and Development

Learning Assistance Programs

LGBTQ+ Programs and Services

Master's Level Higher Education and Student Affairs

Professional Preparation Programs

Multicultural Student Programs and Services

Orientation Programs

Registrar Services

Sexual Violence-Related Programs and Services

Student Conduct Programs

Testing Programs and Services

Transfer Student Programs and Services

TRIO and College Access Programs

Undergraduate Admissions Programs and Services

Veterans and Military-Connected Programs and Services

Women's and Gender Programs and Services

The General Standards (2018)

- 1. Mission
- 2. **Program and Services**
- 3. Student Learning, Development, and Success
- 4. Assessment
- Access, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion 12. Facilities and
- Leadership. Management, and Supervision

- 7. Human Resources
- 8. Collaboration and Communication
- 9. Ethics, Law, and Policy
- Financial Resources
- 11. Technology
- Infrastructure



In each Standard, there are the 12 principles.

De Anza College is now adopting CAS Standards for Student Services (Instruction is not adopting at this time).

What are the advantages? Why implement?

- 1. Based on information obtained through process gaps can be found
- 2. Focused time/energy/resources for program review process
- 3. Guides Staff Development (professional development)
- 4. Guides Strategic Planning
- 5. Develop Learning Outcomes
- 6. Measure program and service effectiveness (there is great work being done!; there are some gaps that can be identified)

Comments:

How does the program review get brought into a shared governance platform where budget considerations are being discussed?

CAS Standards provide framework – a program review is in integrated part of following the standards.

Program Review is written for Instruction; Student Services will be adopting CAS Standards

Similar to APPA Standards which provide the metrics around effectiveness for facilities (APPA -Association of Physical Plant Administrators for custodial/grounds/maintenance).

TASKS:

- PRT Funding for classified staff & part-time faculty participation in shared governance
- Survey look at responses and create some metrics around the data
- Establish Short Term Goals and Long Term Goals for committee?
- Communicate with College Council the timeline