De Anza College Office of Institutional Research and Planning

To: Craig Norman, Chair, English as a Second Language

From: Mallory Newell, De Anza Researcher

Date: 6/21/2012

Subject: ESL 251 and ESL 253 Support Workshop Intervention Program – 2011F and 2012W

The English as a Second Language Program developed workshops for students in need of additional support in ESL 251 and ESL 253. Instructors teaching these courses perform an assessment of student's skills within the first few weeks of the course. For ESL 251, students are assessed in the areas of pronunciation, presentation and listening. Students in ESL 253 are assessed in the areas of perfect tense, adjective clause and sentence variety.

Based upon the skills assessment, students requiring additional support are referred to a specific skills workshop. Students have multiple opportunities throughout the quarter to attend workshops and their attendance is recorded.

Students in ESL 251 or 253 who attended at least one workshop (the majority of students attended one workshop) in the fall or winter were included in the intervention group. Students in ESL 251 or 253 that were not referred to a workshop were compared to students in the intervention group in the same quarter. Students were compared on success, persistence and retention rates.

It should be noted that students who were referred to the workshops in the intervention group likely had lower skill levels than students in the non intervention group at the beginning of the course based upon the need for additional instructional support. This being the case, for most of the students outcomes, the workshops did not seem to elevate the student outcomes of the intervention group to match that of the non-intervention group, suggesting that participation in at least one workshop does not significantly enhance course success, persistence or retention rates.

While this finding does not indicate that the intervention had a negative effect, it does suggest that the level of impact after attending at least one workshop is limited if the goal is to increase the outcomes of the intervention group to comparable levels as the non-intervention group.

Table 1. Success Rates - Fall

Fall	201	I

		Suc	cess	Non S	uccess	With	draw	raw Total		
			Row Row					Row		
		Grades	Percent	rcent Grades Percent		Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent	
ESLD251.	Intervention	33	80%	4	10%	4	10%	41	100%	
ESLD251.	No Intervention	150	84%	20	11%	8	4%	178	100%	
ESLD253.	Intervention	12	80%	3	20%			15	100%	
ESLD253.	No Intervention	182	77%	41	17%	13	6%	236	100%	

Success = A, B, C, P; Non Success = D, F, NP, I; Withdraw = W.

- For ESL 251, the Intervention group had slightly lower success rates (80%) than students not in the Non Intervention group (84%).
- For ESL 253, the success rates for students in the Intervention group had a slightly higher success rate (80%) than the Non Intervention group (77%).

Table 2. Success Rates - Winter

		Winter 2012								
	-	Suc	cess	Non S	Success	With	ndraw	Total		
			Row				Row		Row	
		Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent	
ESLD251.	Intervention	40	87%			6	13%	46	100%	
ESLD251.	No Intervention	112	84%	9	7%	13	10%	134	100%	
ESLD253.	Intervention	22	73%	5	17%	3	10%	30	100%	
ESLD253.	No Intervention	150	83%	14	8%	16	9%	180	100%	

- For ESL 251, students in the Intervention group had slightly higher success rates (87%) than students in the Non Intervention group (84%).
- For ESL 253, students in the Intervention group had a much lower success rates (73%) than students without the intervention (83%).

Table 3. Persistence Rates, Fall

			Winter	Persistence		
		Fall	vvinter	Rate		
ESLD251.	Intervention	33	26	79%		
ESLD251.	No Intervention	150	126	84%		
ESLD253.	Intervention	12	10	83%		
ESLD253.	No Intervention	182	159	87%		

Students who successfully passed in fall and were enrolled in winter.

- For students who passed ESL 251 in the fall quarter, students in the Intervention group returned at a lower rate (79%) the following quarter compared to students without the intervention (84%).
- For ESL 253 students who passed in the fall quarter, the persistence rates for students in the Intervention group were slightly lower (83%) than students in the Non Intervention group (87%).

Table 4. Persistence Rates, Winter

		\ \ /:	C	Persistence		
		Winter	Spring	Rate		
ESLD251.	Intervention	40	38	95%		
ESLD251.	No Intervention	112	106	95%		
ESLD253.	Intervention	22	20	91%		
ESLD253.	No Intervention	150	142	95%		

Students who successfully passed in winter and were enrolled in spring.

• For students who passed an ESL 251 and 253 courses in the winter quarter, the Intervention group had similar persistence rates than students in the Non Intervention groups.

Table 5. Retention Rate

	_	Retention Rate			
		Fall Winte			
ESLD251.	Intervention	90%	87%		
ESLD251.	No Intervention	96%	90%		
ESLD253.	Intervention	100%	90%		
ESLD253.	No Intervention	94%	91%		

Students who did not withdraw and received a grade.

- In fall 2011, students in the ESL 251 Intervention group had a lower retention rate (90%) compared to the Non Intervention group (96%).
- For winter 2012, students in the ESL 251 Intervention group had a slightly lower retention rate (87%) compared to Non Intervention students (90%).
- In winter 2012, students in the ESL 253 Intervention group had a higher retention rate (100%) compared to the Non Intervention group (94%).
- In winter 2012, students in ESL 251 with and without an intervention had similar retention rates at about 90%.

Table 6. Success Rates by Ethnicity – Fall

	Fall 2011								
		Succes	SS	Non S	uccess	Withdraw		To	otal
			Row		Row		Row		Row
		Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent
Fall 2011 ESLD251. Intervention	Asian	26	79%	4	12%	3	9%	33	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. Intervention	Latino/a	3	75%			1	25%	4	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. Intervention	White	3	100%					3	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. Intervention	Unreported	1	100%					1	100%
	Total	33	80%	4	10%	4	10%	41	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. No Intervention	African American			I	100%			I	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. No Intervention	Asian	103	85%	12	10%	6	5%	121	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. No Intervention	Filipino	3	100%					3	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. No Intervention	Latino/a	8	89%	I	11%			9	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. No Intervention	White	23	85%	2	7%	2	7%	27	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD251. No Intervention	Unreported	13	76%	4	24%			17	100%
	Total	150	84%	20	11%	8	4%	178	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. Intervention	African Ameri	2	100%					2	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. Intervention	Asian	8	89%	- 1	11%			9	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. Intervention	White	1	50%	- 1	50%			2	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. Intervention	Unreported	1	50%	- 1	50%			2	100%
	Total	12	80%	3	20%			15	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. No Intervention	African Ameri	3	75%	I	25%			4	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. No Intervention	Asian	131	78%	27	16%	9	5%	167	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. No Intervention	Decline to State					1	100%	1	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. No Intervention	Filipino	3	100%					3	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. No Intervention	Latino/a	10	77%	3	23%			13	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. No Intervention	White	12	75%	3	19%	1	6%	16	100%
Fall 2011 ESLD253. No Intervention	Unreported	23	72%	7	22%	2	6%	32	100%
	Total	182	77%	41	17%	13	6%	236	100%

Table 7. Success Rates by Ethnicity – Winter

			Winter 2012							
			Suc	cess	Non S	uccess	With	Withdraw		otal
				Row		Row		Row		Row
			Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent	Grades	Percent
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	Intervention African American	T	100%					- 1	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	Intervention Asian	32	91%			3	9%	35	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	Intervention Latino/a	2	100%					2	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	Intervention White	4	57%			3	43%	7	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	Intervention Unreported	1	100%					1	100%
		Total	40	87%			6	13%	46	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	No Interventic African American	3	75%			I	25%	4	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	No Interventic Asian	85	87%	8	8%	5	5%	98	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	No Interventic Filipino	1	100%					1	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	No Interventic Latino/a	8	80%			2	20%	10	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	No Interventic White	9	75%			3	25%	12	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD251.	No Interventic Unreported	6	67%	I	11%	2	22%	9	100%
		Total	112	84%	9	7%	13	10%	134	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	Intervention Asian	16	80%	3	15%	1	5%	20	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	Intervention Filipino			- 1	100%			- 1	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	Intervention Latino/a	- 1	100%					1	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	Intervention White	5	83%			1	17%	6	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	Intervention Unreported			1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
		Total	22	73%	5	17%	3	10%	30	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	No Interventic African American	4	80%	I	20%			5	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	No Interventic Asian	124	86%	12	8%	8	6%	144	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	No Interventic Filipino					1	100%	1	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	No Interventic Latino/a	5	71%			2	29%	7	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	No Interventic White	11	85%			2	15%	13	100%
Winter 2012	ESLD253.	No Interventic Unreported	6	60%	I	10%	3	30%	10	100%
		Total	150	83%	14	8%	16	9%	180	100%