

The Community College's Role in Developing Students' Civic Outcomes

Progress and Next Steps for TDC's Civic Outcomes Survey

Carrie B. Kisker, Ph.D.

Center for the Study of Community Colleges

Verdis Robinson Monroe Community College

Mallory A. Newell, Ed.D. De Anza College

Kurt Hoffman Allegany College of Maryland



TDC's Civic Outcomes Survey

2012: Survey originally created and administered at De Anza College

2013: 4 CA community colleges (Shasta, Cañada, De Anza, & the San Diego Community College District) collaborated to refine the survey and brainstorm broad categories of outcomes, including civic agency, civic knowledge, civic behavior, etc.

Spring 2014: The revised instrument, as well as an institutional questionnaire, was piloted in CA

Fall 2014: Following the CA pilot, as well as feedback and suggestions from TDC members, the survey and institutional questionnaire were further refined

Spring 2015: 9 TDC colleges from across the nation participated in a national pilot of the Civic Outcomes Survey and Institutional Questionnaire



The Community College's Role in Developing Students' Civic Outcomes

Results of the CA Pilot



Conceptual Framework

- Astin's (2002) I-E-O Model takes into account:
 - Incoming college students' background characteristics (both demographic and behavioral)
 - College environment (programs, policies, practices, people, cultures, and experiences)
 - Students' outcomes after accounting for pre-college characteristics and college environment



Methods

- In spring 2014 we administered the Civic Outcomes Survey & Institutional Questionnaire at 3 community colleges and 1 district in CA
- A total of 34,587 students was asked to participate, and 1,756 usable surveys were returned (for an aggregate 5% response rate)
- We utilized factor analysis and stepwise linear regression to identify the individual and institutional factors associated with greater civic outcomes



Individual Predictors of Civic Outcomes

Positively Associated with Civic Outcomes

- English at home (Electoral Participation)
- Female (Civic Capacity)
- Latino/a (Electoral Participation, Civic Agency)
- Age (Political Behavior, Civic Agency)
- F-T enrollment (Civic Capacity, Civic Agency, Increased Civic Knowledge)
- Number of credits earned (most civic outcomes)

Positively Associated with Correct Answers

- Higher parental income
- English at home
- Age

Negatively Associated with Civic Outcomes

English at home (Civic Capacity, Increased Civic Knowledge)

Negatively Associated with Correct Answers

- Female
- Asian
- Latino/a
- Other race/ethnicity



Institutional Predictors of Civic Outcomes

		Correctly					Increased
	Civic	Answer Civic	Political	Electoral	Civic	Civic	Civic
	Leadership	Questions	Behavior	Participation	Agency	Capacity	Knowledge
R ² After Controlling for Student							
Characteristics and Pre-College	.23	.17	.33	.32	.09	.07	.06
Behaviors							





Institutional Predictors of Civic Outcomes

		Correctly					Increased
	Civic	Answer Civic	Political	Electoral	Civic	Civic	Civic
	Leadership	Questions	Behavior	Participation	Agency	Capacity	Knowledge
R ² After Controlling for Student							
Characteristics and Pre-College	.23	.17	.33	.32	.09	.07	.06
Behaviors							
College Characteristics				Final Betas			
City: Small	10**						
Suburb: Midsize		.06*					
Size: Very Large						.09**	
Percent of Full-Time Faculty					.07*		.10**
Percent of Students with Pell		12**				.14**	
Civic Engagement in Faculty					.09**		.13**
Professional Development					.09		.15
Institutional Intentionality		.65**					.04*
around Civic Engagement		.03					.04

Final R ²	.68	.56	.50	.42	.18	.18	.16

^{*} p < .05; ** p < .01



Institutional Predictors of Civic Outcomes

		Correctly					Increased
	Civic	Answer Civic	Political	Electoral	Civic	Civic	Civic
	Leadership	Questions	Behavior	Participation	Agency	Capacity	Knowledge
R ² After Controlling for Student							
Characteristics and Pre-College	.23	.17	.33	.32	.09	.07	.06
Behaviors							
College Characteristics				Final Betas			
City: Small	10**						
Suburb: Midsize		.06*					
Size: Very Large						.09**	
Percent of Full-Time Faculty					.07*		.10**
Percent of Students with Pell		12**				.14**	
Civic Engagement in Faculty					.09**		.13**
Professional Development					.09		.13
Institutional Intentionality		.65**					.04*
around Civic Engagement		.03					.04
College Student Behaviors				Final Betas			
Work on Campus	.03*						
Obtain News Regularly	.07**	.05*	.06**	.12**		.09**	.09**
Discuss Politics Regularly	.05**	.05*	.18**	.12**	.11**	.11**	.15**
Volunteer	.65**		.19**	.07**	.19**	.19**	.11**
Vote in Student Election	.17**		.24**	.19**	.12**	.14**	.13**
Final R ²	.68	.56	.50	.42	.18	.18	.16

^{*} p < .05; ** p < .01



Implications and Next Steps

- Results provide preliminary yet meaningful information about community college students' civic outcomes
- Several limitations, including:
 - Low response rate
 - Variability in survey administration at the 4 colleges
 - Small number of institutions means that results related to college characteristics must be interpreted with caution
 - Chicken and egg problem
- Next steps:
 - Analyze data from national pilot in summer/fall 2015
 - Prepare for future administrations of TDC's Civic Outcomes Survey in 2016 and beyond



The Community College's Role in Developing Students' Civic Outcomes

Experiences of the National Pilot and Suggestions for the Future



THANK YOU!

Please feel free to contact us with additional questions, comments, or ideas

Carrie B. Kisker, Ph.D.

Director, Center for the

Study of Community Colleges

(310) 951-3565

carrie@kiskeredconsulting.com

Mallory A. Newell
Director, Research & Planning
De Anza College
408-864-8777
newellmallory@fhda.edu