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## Methodology

Students who passed ESL5 - Advanced Composition and Reading or ESL6 - Critical Reading and Research for Writing and enrolled in EWRT1A between 2008-09 and 2011-12 were tracked.

Success rates of students who passed EWRT1A then enrolled in a general education course were tracked. A sample of general education courses were included from the general education/breadth requirements for A.A./A.S. degree for 2012-13. The results were disaggregated by all students who passed EWRT1A but either passed ESL5 and EWRT1A (ESL5) or did not take/pass ESL5 but passed EWRT1A (No ESL 5).

General education courses were highlighted where ESL5 student success rates were higher or the same as EWRT1A students. Success rates were calculated on A, B, C, and P grades while non success is D, F, I and NP grades and withdraw are W grades.

Persistence rates of students who passed ESL5 and were successful in EWRT1A were compared to persistence rates of students who passed EWRT1A without taking ESL5.

## Overall Findings

- ESL5 students had higher success rates in EWRT1A, EWRT1B, and EWRT2 courses than students who did not take ESL5.
- ESL5 students had higher or similar success rates than students who did not take ESL5 in all general education courses listed.
- The largest differences in success rates where ESL5 students had higher success rates than non ESL5 students were in MATH114, MATH10 and CHEM10.
- ESL5 students had similar fall to winter and fall to spring persistence rates but lower fall to fall persistence rates than non ESL5 students.

Table 1. Tracking Students from ESL5 to EWRT1A

| Passed ESL5 | Passed ESL6 | Passed both ESL5 and ESL6 | Passed ESL5 \& EWRTIA | Passed ESL6 \& EWRTIA |  <br> EWRTIA | Passed EWRTIA \& did not take/pass ESL5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,398 | 95 | 89 | 685 | 8 | 8 | 9,156 |

Note: Includes students who passed EWRT1A between 2008-09 and 2011-12.

- A much higher number of students passed ESL5 $(1,398)$ than ESL6 $(95)$ and a small number of students passed both ESL5 and ESL6 (89). 9,156 students passed EWRT1A but did not take/pass ESL5.
- 658 students passed ESL5 and EWRT1A, 8 students passed ESL6 and also passed EWRT1A, and 8 students passed ESL5 and ESL6 and also passed EWRT1A. Due to these factors, students who passed ESL5 were tracked, though the 8 students who also passed ESL6 were included in this group.


## Success Rates in EWRT Courses

Table 2 shows students who passed EWRT1A between 2008-09 and 2010-11 and either passed ESL5 or did not take/pass ESL5. The table presents the success rates of this cohort in EWRT1A, EWRT1B and EWRT2.

Table 2. EWRT Success Rates

|  |  | Success | Success | Non Success | Non Success | Withdraw | Withdraw | Total | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |  |
| EWRTD00IA | ESL5 | 685 | $93 \%$ | 16 | $2 \%$ | 37 | $5 \%$ | 738 | $100 \%$ |
|  | No ESL5 | 9,201 | $91 \%$ | 457 | $5 \%$ | 466 | $5 \%$ | 10,124 | $100 \%$ |
| EWRTD00IB | ESL5 | 277 | $89 \%$ | 12 | $4 \%$ | 23 | $7 \%$ | 312 | $100 \%$ |
|  | No ESL5 | 3,640 | $82 \%$ | 367 | $8 \%$ | 427 | $10 \%$ | 4,434 | $100 \%$ |
| EWRTD002. | ESL5 | 341 | $93 \%$ | 11 | $3 \%$ | 13 | $4 \%$ | 365 | $100 \%$ |
|  | No ESL5 | 4,004 | $88 \%$ | 257 | $6 \%$ | 307 | $7 \%$ | 4,568 | $100 \%$ |

- Students who passed ESL5 had slightly higher success rate in EWRT1A (93\%) than students who did not take ESL5 (91\%).
- For EWRT1B, success rates for ESL5 students were higher at 89\% compared to ESL5 students (82\%).
- For EWRT2, success rates for ESL5 students were higher at 93\% compared to non ESL5 students at $88 \%$.


## Success Rates in General Education Courses

Table 3 shows a sample of general education courses from the De Anza College catalog that meet general education/breadth requirements for A.A./A.S. Degree for 2012-2013. Success rates for each course were disaggregated by all students who passed EWRT1A but either passed ESL5 and EWRT1A (ESL5) or did not take/pass ESL5 but passed EWRT1A (No ESL 5).

Table 3. General Education Success Rates for Students who Passed EWRT1A - Disaggregated by Students who Passed ESL5 and Students who did not Take/Pass ESL5

|  |  | Success <br> Grades | Success <br> Percent | Non Success Grades | Non Success Percent | Withdraw Grades | Withdraw <br> Percent | Total Grades | Total Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANTHD00I. | ESL5 | 86 | 88\% | 5 | 5\% | 7 | 7\% | 98 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 2,009 | 80\% | 266 | 11\% | 250 | 10\% | 2,525 | 100\% |
| ARTSD001A | ESL5 | 77 | 95\% | 2 | 2\% | 2 | 2\% | 81 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 984 | 84\% | 106 | 9\% | 76 | 7\% | 1,166 | 100\% |
| ASTRD004. | ESL5 | 349 | 97\% | 4 | 1\% | 6 | 2\% | 359 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 3,090 | 91\% | 194 | 6\% | 119 | 3\% | 3,403 | 100\% |
| BIOLD006A | ESL5 | 58 | 66\% | 13 | 15\% | 17 | 19\% | 88 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 391 | 57\% | 158 | 23\% | 137 | 20\% | 686 | 100\% |
| CHEMDOIO. | ESL5 | 19 | 95\% |  |  | 1 | 5\% | 20 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 248 | 76\% | 40 | 12\% | 37 | 11\% | 325 | 100\% |
| ESD001. | ESL5 | 121 | 98\% |  |  | 3 | 2\% | 124 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 959 | 90\% | 52 | 5\% | 54 | 5\% | 1,065 | 100\% |
| ECOND00I. | ESL5 | 353 | 86\% | 23 | 6\% | 33 | 8\% | 409 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 2,716 | 76\% | 474 | 13\% | 394 | 11\% | 3,584 | 100\% |
| ESCID00I. | ESL5 | 61 | 100\% |  |  |  |  | 61 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 769 | 97\% | 11 | 1\% | 13 | 2\% | 793 | 100\% |
| GEOD00I. | ESL5 | 14 | 82\% |  |  | 3 | 18\% | 17 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 704 | 74\% | 129 | 14\% | 114 | 12\% | 947 | 100\% |
| HISTD004A | ESL5 | 8 | 89\% |  |  | 1 | 11\% | 9 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 273 | 81\% | 41 | 12\% | 25 | 7\% | 339 | 100\% |
| HUMADOIO. | ESL5 | 73 | 92\% | 3 | 4\% | 3 | 4\% | 79 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 2,293 | 86\% | 253 | 9\% | 118 | 4\% | 2,664 | 100\% |
| HUMIDOI5. | ESL5 | 99 | 93\% | 2 | 2\% | 5 | 5\% | 106 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 254 | 88\% | 20 | 7\% | 15 | 5\% | 289 | 100\% |
| ICSD005. | ESL5 | 118 | 94\% | 1 | 1\% | 7 | 6\% | 126 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 558 | 88\% | 42 | 7\% | 32 | 5\% | 632 | 100\% |

Rows were highlighted where ESL5 students had higher or the same success rate as non ESL5 students.

Table 3. General Education Success Rates for Students who Passed EWRT1A - Disaggregated by Students who Passed ESL5 and Students who did not Take/Pass ESL5 - Continued

|  |  | Success <br> Grades | Success <br> Percent | Non Success Grades | Non Success Percent | Withdraw Grades | Withdraw Percent | Total Grades | Total <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JOURD002. | ESL5 | 26 | 96\% |  |  | 1 | 4\% | 27 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 492 | 88\% | 41 | 7\% | 23 | 4\% | 556 | 100\% |
| MATHDOIO. | ESL5 | 450 | 86\% | 21 | 4\% | 50 | 10\% | 521 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 3,839 | 67\% | 961 | 17\% | 919 | 16\% | 5,719 | 100\% |
| MATHDII4. | ESL5 | 201 | 90\% | 13 | 6\% | 10 | 4\% | 224 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 3,979 | 70\% | I,123 | 20\% | 618 | II\% | 5,720 | 100\% |
| METDOIO. | ESL5 | 89 | 98\% |  |  | 2 | 2\% | 91 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 982 | 89\% | 72 | 7\% | 45 | 4\% | 1,099 | 100\% |
| PHILD003. | ESL5 | 96 | 89\% | 5 | 5\% | 7 | 6\% | 108 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 603 | 89\% | 32 | 5\% | 39 | 6\% | 674 | 100\% |
| PHILD004. | ESL5 | 92 | 90\% | 5 | 5\% | 5 | 5\% | 102 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 1,348 | 85\% | 150 | 9\% | 95 | 6\% | 1,593 | 100\% |
| PHYSD002A | ESL5 | 39 | 78\% | 7 | 14\% | 4 | 8\% | 50 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 273 | 64\% | 49 | I 1\% | 106 | 25\% | 428 | 100\% |
| POLID001. | ESL5 | 326 | 85\% | 32 | 8\% | 27 | 7\% | 385 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 3,456 | 75\% | 707 | 15\% | 470 | 10\% | 4,633 | 100\% |
| PSYCD00I. | ESL5 | 247 | 87\% | 16 | 6\% | 20 | 7\% | 283 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 4,424 | 82\% | 607 | 11\% | 371 | 7\% | 5,402 | 100\% |
| SOCD001. | ESL5 | 123 | 87\% | 5 | 4\% | 13 | 9\% | 141 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 2,019 | 83\% | 232 | 9\% | 195 | 8\% | 2,446 | 100\% |
| SPCHD00I. | ESL5 | 202 | 96\% | 2 | 1\% | 6 | 3\% | 210 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 3,550 | 89\% | 206 | 5\% | 211 | 5\% | 3,967 | 100\% |
| SPCHDOIO. | ESL5 | 264 | 97\% | 2 | 1\% | 7 | 3\% | 273 | 100\% |
|  | No ESL5 | 2,773 | 91\% | 124 | 4\% | 138 | 5\% | 3,035 | 100\% |

Rows were highlighted where ESL5 students had higher or the same success rate as non ESL5 students.

- Students who passed ESL5 had higher or the same success rates than students who did not take ESL5 but passed EWRT1A in all general education courses listed.
- The biggest differences in success rates where ESL5 students had higher success rates than non ESL5 students were in MATH114 with a 20 percentage point difference and CHEM10 and MATH10 with a 19 percentage point difference. There was a 14 percentage point difference for PHYS2A and 11 percentage point difference for ARTS1.


## Persistence Rates

Table 4 presents the persistence rates for students who passed EWRT1A in fall 2011 and either passed ESL5 and EWRT1A or just EWRT1A were compared. Persistence from fall to winter, fall to spring and fall to fall was tracked.

Table 4. Persistence Rates for Students who Passed EWRT1A - Disaggregated by Students who Took ESL5 or did not Take ESL5

Students who Took ESL5

| Passed <br> EWRTIA in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled in | Fall to Winter | Enrolled in | Fall to Spring | Enrolled in Fall | Fall to Fall |  |
| Fall 2011 | Winter 2012 | Persistence Rate | Spring 2012 | Persistence Rate | 2013 | Persistence Rate |
| 399 | 347 | $87 \%$ | 333 | $83 \%$ | 177 | $44 \%$ |

Students who Did Not Take ESL5

| Passed <br> EWRTIA in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrolled in | Fall to Winter | Enrolled in | Fall to Spring | Enrolled in Fall | Fall to Fall |  |
| Fall 2011 | Winter 2012 | Persistence Rate | Spring 2012 | Persistence Rate | 2013 | Persistence Rate |
| 6,439 | 5,736 | $89 \%$ | 5,428 | $84 \%$ | 3,769 | $59 \%$ |

- ESL5 students had a slightly lower fall to winter persistence rate of $87 \%$ compared to non ESL5 at 89\%.
- ESL5 students had a fall to spring persistence rate that was one percentage point lower than non ESL5 students, 83\% compared to 84\% for non ESL5 students.
- For fall to fall persistence, ESL5 students had a lower persistence rate at $44 \%$ compared to non ESL5 students at 59\%, this may indicate that they persisted at a lower rate, or that they graduated or transferred at a higher rate than non ESL 5 students.

