

Standard I Accreditation Self Study Team
Institutional Mission and Effectiveness
02-07-05

Members Present: Andrew LaManque, Chair, Cindy Castillo, Carolyn Keen, Duane Bubo, Carlene Bruins, Kevin Glapion, Carmen Pereida, Pat Fifield

Members Absent: Mayra Cruz, Christina Espinosa-Pieb, Lydia Hearn, Carolyn Wilkins-Greene, Rich Hansen, Student Representative

Handouts: Minutes from 01-31-05
Draft from Kevin Glapion Standard 1.A.3

This coming Friday, 02-11-05, there will be an all college meeting to discuss the status of the various Accreditation Self-Study Reports. The purpose of this meeting is to create a dialogue between the team members of each standard. Robert Griffin will ask each chair for evaluation and recommendations.

March 1 is deadline date for all drafts to Lois. This is not to be a partial draft. It must be as close to a final draft as possible. The drafts need to include the source of evidence. We will continue to meet after the draft is submitted.

Question When do Vice Presidents & President view data? Before the college council or after? Answer they will see it as it moves along.

Carolyn Keen brought up issue again of the amount of overlap between the and within the standards.

Question: When will the planning agendas become sanctified? Robert will make determinations. Making sure that what you wrote is what you meant. If you don't address all issues, you may miss some.

Andrew will put up link to web sites. Dan Mitchell created a site for all postings. He used manila. That is probably a password-protected area.

Andrew encouraged entire team to view site created by Dan.

Paul Setzoi sent out email to all team. Andrew has forwarded to all our team members. Please review.

Discussion began again on the top down decisions in a tight budget year and bottom up during good times.

College council minutes are not that descriptive.

There was considerable discussion on the need for a central repository for committee meeting minutes.

Discussion on shared governance committees changing membership. Some memberships appear to be lifetime commitments. Is the chair of the committee responsible for making sure the membership is rotated? Our structure indicates we should change memberships.

For planning to work well a broad based constituency must be engaged. Student participation and involvement is very important.

Discussion on what is diversity since it is not explicitly defined in the Mission -- racial, age, gender, ethnic etc?

There are many informal discussions around the college on what the mission and goals mean. Lots of dialog does go on, but it needs to be documented better so others can become aware of the discussion.

The new portal will provide a venue to read about meetings in other areas as well as provide an avenue for research to pull information on studies out to the campus. There was general agreement that research should have its own "port-let"

Everyone should attempt to attend meeting on Friday 2-11-05 to share across standards.

Next meeting 2-14-05 1:30 to 2:30.